

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1459 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,971	11/18/2003	Edward William Adams	7725-0001.02	7564
23980 7590 06/29/2004			EXAMINER	
REED & EB	ERLE LLP AVENUE, SUITE 210		LE, HOA T	
	K, CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1773	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				17/82			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/716,971	ADAMS ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		H. T. Le	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	SS			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THIS COMMU	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a to reply within the statutory minimum of the triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
•	•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me							
٧,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
411	Claim(s) 1-189 is/are pending in the application	ation					
7)63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-189</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	·	ninar					
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
ا ال	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		*	1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
,—	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	_	oign priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 110(a) (d) or (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(a) or (1).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	n received in this National Sta	ıge			
* (	application from the International But		t received				
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.				
Attach	t(c)						
Attachmen	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/2003</u> .	/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15:	2)			

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re V an Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (OCPA 1982); *In re V ogd*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (OCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (OCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 86-129 of copending Application No. 10/717,288. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Both sets of claims are directed to the same conjugate of an affinity molecule and a water-dispersible nanoparticle, wherein the water dispersible nanoparticle comprises the same inner core, the same organic coating, the same

Art Unit: 1773

outer layer of a multiply amphipathic polymer, and a functional group linked to the polymer, and further wherein the affinity molecule is bound to the functional group.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. Claims 46-95 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 130-178 of copending Application No. 10/717,288. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Both sets of claims are directed to the same composition comprising the same conjugates of an affinity molecule and a water-dispersible nanoparticle, wherein the water dispersible nanoparticle comprises the same inner core, the same organic coating, the same outer layer of a multiply amphipathic polymer, and a functional group linked to the polymer, and further wherein the affinity molecule is bound to the functional group.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending

Art Unit: 1773

application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. Claims 96-140 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 179-222 of copending Application No. 10/717,288. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Both sets of claims are directed to the same nanoparticle conjugate of an affinity molecule and a water-dispersible nanoparticle, wherein the water dispersible nanoparticle comprises the same inner core, the same organic coating, the same outer layer of a multiply amphipathic polymer, and a functional group linked to the polymer, and further wherein the affinity molecule is bound to the functional group.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP \$804.

5. Claims 141-189 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 233-271 of copending Application No. 10/717,288. This is a

Art Unit: 1773

provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Both sets of claims are directed to the same composition comprising the same nanoparticle conjugates each comprising an affinity molecule and a water-dispersible nanoparticle, wherein the water dispersible nanoparticle comprises the same inner core, the same organic coating, the same outer layer of a multiply amphipathic polymer, and a functional group linked to the polymer, and further wherein the affinity molecule is bound to the functional group.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schmeller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

- 6. References are cited as art of interest.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773